

## SHORELINE MASTER PROGRAM PERIODIC REVIEW

---

### Periodic Review Checklist

This document is intended for use by counties, cities and towns subject to the Shoreline Management Act (SMA) to conduct the “periodic review” of their Shoreline Master Programs (SMPs). This review is intended to keep SMPs current with amendments to state laws or rules, changes to local plans and regulations, and changes to address local circumstances, new information or improved data. The review is required under the SMA at [RCW 90.58.080\(4\)](#). Ecology’s rule outlining procedures for conducting these reviews is at [WAC 173-26-090](#).

This checklist summarizes amendments to state law, rules and applicable updated guidance adopted between 2007 and 2019 that may trigger the need for local SMP amendments during periodic reviews.

#### How to use this checklist

See the associated *Periodic Review Checklist Guidance* for a description of each item, relevant links, review considerations, and example language.

At the **beginning of the periodic review**, use the review column to document review considerations and determine if local amendments are needed to maintain compliance. See WAC 173-26-090(3)(b)(i).

Ecology recommends reviewing all items on the checklist. Some items on the checklist prior to the local SMP adoption may be relevant.

**At the end of your review process**, use the checklist as a final summary identifying your final action, indicating where the SMP addresses applicable amended laws, or indicate where no action is needed. See WAC 173-26-090(3)(d)(ii)(D), and WAC 173-26-110(9)(b).

*Local governments should coordinate with their assigned [Ecology regional planner](#) for more information on how to use this checklist and conduct the periodic review.*

Prepared By	Jurisdiction	Date
Hillary Hoke	City of Centralia	

Row	Summary of change	Review	Action
<b>2019</b>			
a.	OFM adjusted the <b>cost threshold for building freshwater docks</b>	SMP Section 2.5: Dock exemption values were raised per WSR-18-013	Revise SMP Section 2.5.B.8(a) and (b) to match values of new OFM thresholds.
b.	The Legislature removed the requirement for a shoreline permit for <b>disposal of dredged materials at Dredged Material Management Program sites</b> ( <i>applies to 9 jurisdictions</i> )	HB 1480 is not applicable to Lewis County.	None – ruling not applicable.
c.	The Legislature added <b>restoring native kelp, eelgrass beds and native oysters</b> as fish habitat enhancement projects.	SB 5404 is specific to salt waters. City of Centralia has no saltwater shorelines.	None – ruling not applicable.
<b>2017</b>			
a.	OFM adjusted the <b>cost threshold for substantial development</b> to \$7,047.	SMP Section 2.5.E(1) currently identifies \$7,047 as the threshold.	None – existing SMP is in compliance.
b.	Ecology permit rules clarified the <b>definition of “development”</b> does not include dismantling or removing structures.	SMP Chapter 9 - Definitions	Added item (5) and appropriate language to the definition of Development.
c.	Ecology adopted rules clarifying <b>exceptions to local review under the SMA.</b>	Section 2.1.A refers to WAC 173-27-044 and 045 for exemptions. It does not specifically list all exemptions.	None – existing SMP refers to WAC for list of exemptions.
d.	Ecology amended rules clarifying <b>permit filing procedures</b> consistent with a 2011 statute.	SMP Chapter 2	Added Section 2.7.F
e.	Ecology amended <b>forestry use regulations</b> to clarify that forest practices that only involves timber cutting are not SMA “developments” and do not require SDPs.	SMP Chapter 7 and Chapter 9	Centralia has extremely limited forest practice opportunities. No change proposed to Chapter 7. Chapter 9 definition of Development amended.
f.	Ecology clarified the SMA does not apply to lands under <b>exclusive federal jurisdiction</b>	SMP Chapter 1	N/A, no such lands in Centralia.
g.	Ecology clarified “default” provisions for <b>nonconforming uses and development.</b>	SMP Chapter 8 and 9	Added non-conforming lot definition to Chapter 9.

Row	Summary of change	Review	Action
			Existing language in Chapter 8 is in compliance.
h.	Ecology adopted rule amendments to clarify the scope and process for conducting <b>periodic reviews</b> .	SMP Chapter 1	Amendments made to section 1.9 to clarify WAC and RCW citations.
i.	Ecology adopted a new rule creating an <b>optional SMP amendment process</b> that allows for a shared local/state public comment period.	SMP Chapter 1	None
j.	<b>Submittal</b> to Ecology of proposed SMP amendments.	SMP Chapter 1	None
2016			
a.	The Legislature created a new shoreline permit exemption for retrofitting existing structure to comply with the <b>Americans with Disabilities Act</b> .	SMP Section 2.5.E(14)	None
b.	Ecology updated <b>wetlands critical areas guidance</b> including implementation guidance for the 2014 wetlands rating system.	SMP Section 5.7	None – CMC 16.17 was updated in 2017 to comply with 2014 rating system.
2015			
a.	The Legislature adopted a <b>90-day target</b> for local review of Washington State Department of Transportation (WSDOT) projects.	SMP Chapter 2	None – language already contained in SMP Section 2.5.A(1)(b)
2014			
a.	The Legislature created a new definition and policy for <b>floating on-water residences</b> legally established before 7/1/2014.	SMP Chapter 7	None – no house boats currently exist in Centralia and SMP Section 7.3.3.B(7) prohibits new.
2012			
a.	The Legislature amended the SMA to clarify <b>SMP appeal procedures</b> .	N/A – SMP does not outline the SMP appeal process.	None
2011			
a.	Ecology adopted a rule requiring that wetlands be delineated in accordance with the approved	SMP Section 5.7	None – CMC 16.17 was updated in 2017.

Row	Summary of change	Review	Action
	<b>federal wetland delineation manual.</b>		
b.	Ecology adopted rules for new commercial <b>geoduck aquaculture</b> .	N/A – no saltwater shorelines exist in Centralia.	None
c.	The Legislature created a new definition and policy for <b>floating homes</b> permitted or legally established prior to January 1, 2011.	N/A – no house boats exist and SMP Section 7.3.3.B(7) prohibits new.	None.
d.	The Legislature authorizing a new <b>option to classify existing structures as conforming</b> .	SMP Chapter 8	None – optional law.
2010			
a.	The Legislature adopted <b>Growth Management Act – Shoreline Management Act clarifications</b> .	Entire SMP	None – comprehensive SMP update was adopted in 2019.
2009			
a.	The Legislature created new “relief” procedures for instances in which a <b>shoreline restoration project within a UGA</b> creates a shift in Ordinary High Water Mark.	N/A	None – Lewis County retained rights to SMA permitting in the UGA per our interlocal agreement.
b.	Ecology adopted a rule for certifying <b>wetland mitigation banks</b> .	N/A	None – mitigation banks are not addressed in the SMP but are addressed in CMC 16.17.070.
c.	The Legislature added <b>moratoria authority</b> and procedures to the SMA.	SMP Chapter 1	Added Section 1.10 Authorization of a Moratorium.
2007			
a.	The Legislature clarified <b>options for defining "floodway"</b> as either the area that has been established in FEMA maps, or the floodway criteria set in the SMA.	SMP Chapter 9 Definitions	None
b.	Ecology amended rules to clarify that <b>comprehensively updated SMPs shall include a list and map of streams and lakes</b> that are in shoreline jurisdiction.	SMP Chapter 3 and Appendix C	None
c.	Ecology’s rule listing statutory exemptions from the	SMP Chapter 2	Added SMP Section 2.5.A(1)(c)

<b>Row</b>	<b>Summary of change</b>	<b>Review</b>	<b>Action</b>
	requirement for an SDP was amended to include fish habitat enhancement projects that conform to the provisions of RCW 77.55.181.		

## Additional amendments

Modify this section, as needed, to reflect additional review issues and related amendments. The summary of change could be about Comprehensive Plan and Development regulations, changes to local circumstance, new information, or improved data.

<b>SMP Section</b>	<b>Summary of change</b>	<b>Discussion</b>
Chapter 2	Added section 2.7.F	The previous SMP did not outline permitting procedures or timelines. This section was added to clarify the process.